



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/010,277	11/05/2001	Dieter Maier	BSG P45AUSP1	5839	
	20210 7	590 08/26/2003				
	DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C. FOURTH FLOOR 500 N. COMMERCIAL STREET MANCHESTER, NH 03101-1151			EXAM	EXAMINER	
				NELSON JR	R, MILTON	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3636		
				DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8							
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/010,277	MAIER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Milton Nelson, Jr.	3636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 June 2003</u> .							
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-17 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 4 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 5, 6, 11, 12, 18 and 20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,7-10 and 19</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
a) \( \subseteq \text{ The translation of the foreign ranguage pro } 15) \( \subseteq \text{ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestimate of the foreign ranguage pro } 15) \( \subseteq \text{ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestimate of the foreign ranguage pro } 15) \( \subseteq \text{ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestimate of the foreign ranguage pro } 15) \( \subseteq \text{ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestimate of the foreign ranguage pro } 15) \( \subseteq \text{ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestimate of the foreign ranguage pro } 15) \( \subseteq \text{ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestimate of the foreign ranguage pro } 15) \( \subseteq \text{ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestimate of the foreign ranguage pro } 15) \( \subseteq \text{ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestimate of the foreign ranguage pro } 15) \( \subseteq \text{ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestimate of the foreign ranguage pro } 15) \( \subseteq \text{ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestimate of the foreign ranguage pro } 15) \( \subseteq \text{ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestimate of the foreign ranguage pro } 15) \( \subseteq \text{ Acknowledgment is made of the foreign ranguage pro } 15) \)	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>						
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5, 11, 12, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 5, "the corresponding connector" lacks proper antecedent basis. In claim 11, "the seat portion" lacks proper antecedent basis. Claim 12 is indefinite since it depends from indefinite claim 11. In claim 20, it is unclear if "a coupling joint" is intended to be the same feature as the previously set forth coupling joint (see claim 18). In claim 20, "the standard anchorage" lacks proper antecedent basis. In claim 20, line 3 is grammatically vague. Note the recitation "the standard anchorage unit associated of the vehicle seat".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,277

Art Unit: 3636

Claims 1, 6, 11, 18 and 20, as best understood with the above cited indefiniteness, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burleigh et al (5487588). In claim 1, note that the base support surface is represented by the surface that engages the vehicle seat bottom. In claim 18, note that the support surface is represented by the surface that engages the vehicle seat bottom. Note the link (30), coupling mechanism (34, 42), connector (36). Regarding claim 18, note the coupling joint (34).

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is allowed.

Claims 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 5 and 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Amendment/Arguments

Applicant's response filed June 2, 2003 has been fully considered. Remaining issues are detailed in the above sections. Applicant is advised that Paragraph 9 on page 4 of the Office action of December 20, 2002 included a typographical error. In line 2, "DE (29506847)" should be Burleigh et al (5487588). Burleigh et al remains applied

Page 4

to the claims as indicated above. DE (29506847) has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's arguments. Previously withdrawn claim 9 has been treated on the merits

since it is dependent from a claim that contains allowable subject matter. Claims 13-17

remain withdrawn.

Conclusion

This Office action has not been made final since it includes a corrected grounds

of rejection, not necessitated by Applicant's amendment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Milton Nelson, Jr. whose telephone number is

7033082117. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 5:30-3:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

7033082168.

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 3636

mn

August 25, 2003